

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/659,683	09/11/2000	Rajnikant Patel	07164.0004-02	9148
22852	7590 05/31/2002			
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT &			EXAMINER	
	DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW		WRIGHT, SONYA N	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/659,683	PATEL, RAJNIKANT	PATEL, RAJNIKANT		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Sonya Wright	1626			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 13 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. ☑ Other: PTO-892  CEILA CHANG
FRIMARY EXAMINER 680119 1990 16 00
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) - 09/659,683

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant did not address the "pure" element of the claim. A compound, by default, if not explicitly named to have solvent, is a non-solvated compound. Please note column 28, lines 29-32, where the disclosure indicates the non-solvated nature of the compounds of Robertson et al.. "The classic definition of purity is that a sample of a chemical compound is pure when it contains molecules of only one kind" (see Physics and Chemistry of the Organic Solid State Sloan, p. 181, lines 4-6, attached). Based on this definition, the disclosure of Robertson et al., in column 28, lines 29-32 meets the "pure" requirement of the claim. Additionally, at column 29, lines 15-18, the compound has been further purified to a level of NMR pure. Therefore, every element of the claim is met and anticipation is found.

The reference cited on the PTO-892 is for evidence only, the reference is not included in a new grounds of rejection.